

E-FILED on 5/1/2008

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

RAMBUS INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,
INC., SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,
SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR,
L.P.,

Defendants.

No. C-05-02298 RMW

ORDER DENYING SAMSUNG'S MOTIONS
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**[Re Docket No. 100]**

RAMBUS INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC., HYNIX
SEMICONDUCTOR AMERICA INC.,
HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR
MANUFACTURING AMERICA INC.,

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,
INC., SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,
SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR,
L.P.,

NANYA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION,
NANYA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION
U.S.A.,

Defendants.

No. C-05-00334 RMW

[Re Docket No. 160]

ORDER DENYING SAMSUNG'S MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Nos. C-05-02298 RMW; C-05-00334 RMW

TSF

1 In April 2007, defendants Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America,
2 Inc., Samsung Semiconductor, Inc., Samsung Austin Semiconductor, L.P., ("Samsung") moved for
3 summary judgment on counts I through X of plaintiff Rambus Inc.'s ("Rambus") complaint in case
4 C-05-02298 RMW and counts IV and IX through XVIII of Rambus's complaint in case C-05-00334.
5 Specifically, Samsung argued that this court should accord collateral estoppel effect to another
6 court's findings in *Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. v. Rambus Inc.*, 439 F. Supp. 2d 524 (E.D. Va. July
7 18, 2006) that Rambus engaged in spoliation of documents relevant to its litigation with Samsung at
8 a time when Rambus contemplated or reasonably should have anticipated litigation.

9 On June 18, 2007, the court deferred ruling on Samsung's motions because Rambus had
10 appealed the findings at issue to the Federal Circuit. The Federal Circuit has since vacated the trial
11 court's findings regarding spoliation of evidence. *Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. v. Rambus Inc.*, —
12 F.3d — (Fed. Cir. Apr. 29, 2008). Vacated findings cannot be given collateral estoppel effect.
13 Accordingly, Samsung's motions for summary judgment are denied.

14
15
16 DATED: 4/30/2008



RONALD M. WHYTE
United States District Judge

Notice of this document has been electronically sent to:

Counsel for Rambus Inc., all actions		Counsel for Hynix entities, C-00-20905 and C-05-00334	
Burton Alexander Gross	Burton.Gross@mto.com	Allen Ruby	ruby@allenrubylaw.com
Carolyn Hoecker Luedtke	carolyn.luedtke@mto.com	Belinda Martinez Vega	bvega@omm.com
Catherine Rajwani	crajwani@sidley.com	Daniel J. Furniss	djfurniss@townsend.com
Craig N. Tolliver	ctolliver@mckoolsmith.com	Geoffrey Hurndall Yost	gyost@thelenreid.com
David C. Yang	david.yang@mto.com	Jordan Trent Jones	jtjones@townsend.com
Douglas A. Cawley	dcawley@mckoolsmith.com	Joseph A. Greco	jagreco@townsend.com
Erin C. Dougherty	erin.dougherty@mto.com	Kenneth Lee Nissly	kennissly@thelenreid.com
Gregory P. Stone	gregory.stone@mto.com	Kenneth Ryan O'Rourke	korourke@omm.com
Jennifer Lynn Polse	jen.polse@mto.com	Patrick Lynch	plynch@omm.com
Keith Rhoderic Dhu Hamilton, II	keith.hamilton@mto.com	Susan Gregory VanKeulen	svankeulen@thelenreid.com
Kelly Max Klaus	kelly.klaus@mto.com	Theodore G. Brown, III	tgbrown@townsend.com
Miriam Kim	Miriam.Kim@mto.com	Tomomi Katherine Harkey	tharkey@thelen.com
Peter A. Detre	detrepa@mto.com	Counsel for Micron entities, C-06-00244	
Pierre J. Hubert	phubert@mckoolsmith.com	Aaron Bennett Craig	aaroncraig@quinnemanuel.com
Rosemarie Theresa Ring	rose.ring@mto.com	David J. Ruderman	davidruderman@quinnemanuel.com
Scott L Cole	scole@mckoolsmith.com	Harold Avrum Barza	halbarza@quinnemanuel.com
Scott W. Hejny	shejny@sidley.com	Jared Bobrow	jared.bobrow@weil.com
Sean Eskovitz	sean.eskovitz@mto.com	John D Beynon	john.beynon@weil.com
Steven McCall Perry	steven.perry@mto.com	Leeron Kalay	leeron.kalay@weil.com
Thomas N Tarnay	ttarnay@sidley.com	Linda Jane Brewer	lindabrewer@quinnemanuel.com
William Hans Baumgartner, Jr	wbaumgartner@sidley.com	Rachael Lynn Ballard McCracken	rachaelmccracken@quinnemanuel.com
		Robert Jason Becher	robertbecher@quinnemanuel.com
		Yonaton M Rosenzweig	yonirosenzweig@quinnemanuel.com

ORDER DENYING SAMSUNG'S MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Nos. C-05-02298 RMW; C-05-00334 RMW

TSF

Counsel for Nanya entities, C-05-00334		Counsel for Samsung entities, C-05-00334 and C-05-02298	
Chester Wren-Ming Day	cday@orrick.com	Ana Elena Kadala	anita.kadala@weil.com
Craig R. Kaufman	ckaufman@orrick.com	Claire Elise Goldstein	claire.goldstein@weil.com
Jan Ellen Ellard	jellard@orrick.com	David J. Healey	david.healey@weil.com
Jason Sheffield Angell	jangell@orrick.com	Edward Robert Reines	Edward.Reines@weil.com
Kaiwen Tseng	ktseng@orrick.com	Matthew D. Powers	matthew.powers@weil.com
Mark Shean	mshean@orrick.com		
Robert E. Freitas	rfreitas@orrick.com		
Vickie L. Feeman	vfeeman@orrick.com		

Counsel for intervenor, Texas Instruments, Inc., C-05-00334	
Kelli A. Crouch	kcrouch@jonesday.com
Counsel for intervenor, United States Department of Justice, C-00-20905	
Eugene S. Litvinoff	eugene.litvinoff@usdoj.gov
May Lee Heye	may.hey@usdoj.gov
Nathanael M. Cousins	nat.cousins@usdoj.gov
Niall Edmund Lynch	Niall.Lynch@USDOJ.GOV
Counsel for intervenor, Elpida Memory, Inc., C-00-20905 and C-05-00334	
Eric R. Lamison	elamison@kirkland.com
John J. Feldhaus	jfeldhaus@foley.com

Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel that have not registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program in each action.

Dated: 5/1/2008

TSF
Chambers of Judge Whyte